# Texas Education Agency 2015-16 Federal Report Card for Texas Public Schools Campus Name: WOODLAND ACRES EL Campus ID: 101910110 District Name: GALENA PARK ISD

Part I: Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results for each subject area and grade level tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results include all students tested, whether or not they were in the accountability subset.

											Two						
		<b>.</b>			African			Americar		Pacific							
STAAR Percent At					American Standard						Races	Ed	Disadv	ELL	Female	Male	Migrant
Grade 3																	
Reading	2016 2015		70% 74%	74% 83%	*	73% 82%	*	-	- *	-	- *	*	68% 84%	69% 82%	84% 96%	59% 75%	-
Mathematics	2016 2015		76% 77%	83% 88%	*	82% 88%	*	-	- *	-	- *	* -	80% 86%	79% 87%	84% 92%	81% 86%	-
Grade 4																	
Reading	2016 2015		74% 65%	85% 76%	*	85% 75%	*	- *	-	-	*	- *	87% 73%	83% 71%	93% 78%	80% 75%	-
Mathematics	2016 2015		77% 72%	73% 78%	*	71% 76%	* *	- *	* -	-	*	- *	74% 73%	73% 66%	70% 75%	74% 81%	-
Writing	2016 2015		71% 69%	80% 69%	*	78% 71%	* *	- *	* -	- -	*	- *	82% 67%	73% 68%	93% 75%	70% 64%	-
Grade 5 Reading	2016	80%	78%	84%	*	82%	*	*	_			*	82%	74%	83%	86%	_
rodding	2015		82%	93%	*	92%	*	-	-	-	-	*	93%	88%	100%	85%	-
Mathematics	2016 2015	85% 75%	87% 73%	92% 66%	*	91% 65%	*	* -	-	-	-	* *	90% 64%	87% 61%	93% 73%	91% 58%	-
Science	2016 2015		78% 73%	86% 79%	*	86% 77%	* *	* -	-	-	-	* *	82% 76%	77% 68%	83% 84%	89% 73%	-
All Grades All Subjects	2016 2015		73% 73%	82% 79%	84% 75%	81% 78%	100% 96%	* *	89% *	-	* *	47% 38%	80% 77%	76% 74%	85% 84%	79% 75%	-
Reading	2016 2015		69% 71%	81% 84%	86% 89%	79% 83%	100% 100%	*	* *	-	*	*	78% 84%	75% 80%	86% 91%	75% 78%	-
Mathematics	2016 2015		77% 74%	83% 77%	86% 67%	82% 75%	100% 100%	*	*	-	*	*	81% 74%	79% 72%	83% 78%	82% 75%	-
Writing	2016 2015		68% 68%	80% 69%	*	78% 71%	*	- *	* -	-	*	- *	82% 67%	73% 68%	93% 75%	70% 64%	-
Science	2016 2015		82% 79%	86% 79%	*	86% 77%	*	* -	-	-	-	*	82% 76%	77% 68%	83% 84%	89% 73%	-
STAAR Percent at F	inal Le	evel II (	or Abov	e													
All Grades																	
All Subjects	2016 2015		37% 32%	40% 33%	26% 38%	39% 31%	65% 79%	*	78% *	-	*	11% 4%	38% 30%	35% 29%	45% 35%	35% 32%	-
Reading	2016 2015		33% 31%	39% 34%	29% 33%	38% 30%	63% 100%	* *	*	-	*	*	37% 30%	33% 30%	45% 38%	33% 30%	-
Mathematics	2016 2015		38% 31%	42% 37%	29% 56%	42% 35%	63% 67%	* *	*	-	* *	*	40% 35%	40% 33%	45% 33%	40% 40%	-
Writing	2016 2015		34% 26%	37% 29%	*	34% 29%	* *	- *	* -	-	*	- *	35% 29%	33% 34%	56% 41%	21% 19%	-
Science	2016 2015		44% 38%	39% 26%	*	35% 23%	*	* -	-	-	-	*	36% 21%	26% 12%		37% 24%	-

https://rptsvr1.tea.texas.gov/cgi/sas/broker?\_service=marykay&year4=2015&year2=15&\_... 1/31/2017

Page	2	of	7

											Two or						
					Africar			America			More	Special					
STAAR Percent at Le				ampus	America	in Hispar	nic White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Ed	Disadv	ELL	Female	Male Mi	igrant
All Grades																	
All Subjects	2016 2015		11% 9%	17% 13%	5% 17%	16% 11%		*	33% *	-	*	0% 0%	16% 11%	15% 11%	19% 13%	14% 13%	-
J		16% 15%	9% 9%	20% 16%	14% 22%	19% 13%		*	*	-	*	*	19% 13%	17% 14%	24% 18%	16% 14%	-
			13% 10%	18% 13%	0% 11%	19% 11%		*	*	-	*	*	18% 12%	16% 11%	17% 11%	20% 15%	-
. 5		14% 8%	9% 4%	13% 9%	*	12% 8%	*	- *	* -	-	*	- *	14% 11%	15% 12%	22% 13%	6% 6%	-
	2016 2015		11% 9%	5% 10%	*	2% 6%	*	* -	-	-	-	*	2% 3%	0% 0%	7% 8%	3% 12%	-
STAAR Participation	(All G	irades)															
All Tests		2016 2015	99% 99%	99% 99%	99% 100%	100% 100%		100% 100% 10		0% - 0% -	* 100%	100% 100%	99% 100%	100% 100%			-
Reading		2016 2015	99% 99%	99% 98%	100% 100%	100% 100%		100% 100% 10	* 00% 10	* - 0% -	* 100%	100% 100%	99% 100%	100% 100%			-
Mathematics		2016 2015	100% 99%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%		100% 100% 10	* )0% 10	* - 0% -	* 100%	100% 100%	99% 100%	100% 100%			-
Writing		2016 2015	99% 99%	100% 100%	98% 100%	* 100%	98% 100% 1	* 100% 10	- 00%	* -	* 100%	- 100%	98% 100%	100% 100%			-
Science		2016 2015	99% 99%	100% 99%	98% 100%	* 100%	98% 100% 1	* 100%	*		-	100% 100%	98% 100%	100% 100%			-
STAAR Participation	Resu	Its by A	ssessn	nent Typ	be for St	udents	Served ii	n Specia	Educat	ion Setti	ngs (Al	l Grades	5)				
Reading Tests % of Participants		2	2016	98% 9	95% <b>1</b>	00%	* 100	% -	-		_	100%	100%	*	*	100%	-
% STAAR/EOC W Accommodations % STAAR/EOC W		2	2016	13%	7%	14%	* 0%	, D –	-		-	14%	0%	*	*	0%	-
Accommodations % STAAR Alternat % of Non-Participan	te2	2	2016	11% 1	10%	0%	* 100 * 0% * 0%	, -	- - -		- - -	86% 0% 0%	100% 0% 0%	* * *	* * *	100% 0% 0%	- - -

	2010	2 /0	570	0 /0		0 /0	-	-
Mathematics Tests								
% of Participants	2016	99%	98%	100%	*	100%	-	-
% STAAR/EOC With No								
Accommodations	2016	12%	7%	14%	*	0%	-	-
% STAAR/EOC With								
Accommodations	2016	75%	80%	86%	*	100%	-	-

12%

2%

0%

0%

'\*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

12%

1%

2016

2016

Ω. Indicates zero observations reported for this group.

% STAAR Alternate2

% of Non-Participants

'n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.

Part II: Student Achievement and State Academic Annual Measureable Objectives (AMOs)

This section provides the STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results only include tested students who were in the accountability subset. This section also includes four-year and five-year graduation rates and participation rates on STAAR for reading and mathematics.

0%

0%

\_ -- 100%

14%

86%

0%

0%

--

-- 100%

0%

100%

0%

0%

\* \*

\* \*

\* \* 100%

0%

100%

0%

0%

-

\_

\_

														Percent of
							Two or			ELL				Eligible
	All	African		American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	(Current &	ELL	Total	Total	Measures
:	Students	American Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	+	Met	Eligible	Met
Performance Status - S	State													

	All	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian		Pacific Islander				ELL (Current & Monitored)			Total Eligible	Percent o Eligible Measures Met
State Target	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	т	wet	Lingiple	Met
Reading	00 /8 Y	00 /8	Y	00 /0	00 /8	00 /0	00 /0	00 /0	Y	00 /0	Y V		4	4	100
0	Ý		Y						Ý		Y		4	4	100
Mathematics			r Y						Y		Y		4		
Writing	Y Y		Y Y						Ý		Ý		4	4	100
Science	r		T						Ť		r			4	100
Social Studies													0	0	
Total													16	16	100
Performance Status -															
Federal Target	87%	87%	87%	87%					87%	87%	87%				
Reading	N		N		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Ν		N	n/a			
Mathematics	Ν		Ν		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Ν		Ν	n/a			
Participation Status															
Target	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%		95%			
Reading	Ŷ	0070	Ŷ	0070	0070	0070	0070	00/0	Ŷ	0070		Ŷ	4	4	100
Mathematics	Ŷ		Ŷ						Ý			Ŷ	4	4	100
Total									•			•	8	8	100
Met Reason Code *** Total													0	0	
District: Met Federal Reading	Limits on A	Iternative A	ssessment	S											
Alternate 1%	n/a														
Number	n/a														
Proficient	-														
Total Federal	n/a														
Cap Limit															
Mathematics															
Alternate 1%	n/a														
Number	n/a														
Proficient	n/u														
Total Federal	n/a														
Cap Limit	11/0														
Total															
Overall Total													24	24	100
Jverdii TOlai													24	24	100
<ul> <li>Participation use</li> <li>** Federal Graduati</li> </ul>	s ELL (Curi	rent), Grad	uation use	s ELL (	Ever HS)										
		Goal of 90			c = 5	Safe Ha	rbor Targ	et of a 10	0% decr	ease in di	ifference fron	n the	orior ve	ear rate a	and the Go

a = Graduation Rate Goal of 90% c = Sate Harbor Target of a 10% decrease in b = Four-year Graduation Rate Target of 88% d = Five-year Graduation Rate Target of 90% Blank cells above represent student group indicators that do not meet the minimum size criteria. n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

								Two or			ELL	
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	(Current &	ELL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	(Current
Performance Rates												
Reading												
# at Level II Satisfactory	159	6	140	8	*	*	-	*	124	*	110	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	197	7	177	8	*	*	-	*	158	*	141	120
% at Level II Satisfactory	81%	86%	79%	100%	*	*	-	*	78%	*	78%	n/a
Standard												
Mathematics												
# at Level II Satisfactory	164	6	146	8	*	*	-	*	129	*	116	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	197	7	177	8	*	*	-	*	158	*	141	120
% at Level II Satisfactory	83%	86%	82%	100%	*	*	-	*	82%	*	82%	n/a
Standard												
Writing												
# at Level II Satisfactory	48	*	39	*	-	*	-	*	42	-	33	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	60	*	50	*	-	*	-	*	51	-	44	40
% at Level II Satisfactory	80%	*	78%	*	-	*	-	*	82%	-	75%	n/a
Standard												
Science												
# at Level II Satisfactory	55	*	49	*	*	-	-	-	41	*	35	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	63	*	56	*	*	-	-	-	49	*	42	31
% at Level II Satisfactory	87%	*	88%	*	*	-	-	-	84%	*	83%	n/a
Standard												
Social Studies												
# at Level II Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

								Two or			ELL	_
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	(Current &	ELL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	(Current
% at Level II Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Standard												
Participation Rates												
Reading: 2015-2016 Assessm	nents											
Number Participating	203	7	183	8	*	*	-	*	162	7	n/a	124
Total Students	204	7	184	8	*	*	-	*	163	7	n/a	124
Participation Rate	100%	100%	99%	100%	*	*	-	*	99%	100%	n/a	100%
Mathematics: 2015-2016 Asse	essments											
Number Participating	203	7	183	8	*	*	-	*	162	7	n/a	124
Total Students	204	7	184	8	*	*	-	*	163	7	n/a	124
Participation Rate	100%	100%	99%	100%	*	*	-	*	99%	100%	n/a	100%

Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).

Indicates there are no students in the group.
 n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

							D	Two or		0		
	All	African American	Lliononio	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	More Races	Econ Disadv	Special Ed	ELL (Ever HS)	ELL
Federal Graduation Rates	Students	American	Hispanic	white	indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disauv	Eu	(Ever HS)	Curren
-ederal Graduation Rates I-year Longitudinal Cohort G	raduation Pa	to (Gr 9-12).	Class of 20	15								
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		_	_	n/a
Total in Class		_	-		-	-	-	-		_	_	-
Graduation Rate						_		_	_		_	n/a
-year Longitudinal Cohort G	- raduation Ra	- (Gr 9-12).	Class of 20	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Number Graduated	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	_	_	_	n/a
Total in Class	_	_		-	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	-
Graduation Rate		_	_		-	-	-	_		_	_	n/a
-year Extended Graduation	Rate (Gr 9-12	): Class of 2	014									n/a
Number Graduated		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	_	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
District: Met Federal Limits o	n Alternative	Assessmen	ts									
Reading												
Number Proficient	n/a											
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a											
Mathematics												
Number Proficient	n/a											
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a											
<ul> <li>Indicates results are ma</li> <li>When only one racial/et Indicates there are no s</li> <li>n/a Indicates the student or</li> </ul>	hnic group is tudents in th	s masked, th e group.	ien the seco	ond smal			ıp is maske	d (regardl	less of size	e).		

Source: 2016 Accountability System Safeguards Report

#### Part III: Priority and Focus Schools

Priority schools are the lowest 5% of Title I served campuses based on performance in reading, mathematics and graduation rates. Priority schools include Tier I or Tier II TTIPS schools, campuses with graduation rates less than 60%, and lowest achieving campuses based on All Students reading/math performance. Focus schools are 10% of Title I served campuses, not already identified as priority schools, that have the widest gaps between student group performance and safeguard targets. Campuses are ranked based on the largest gaps between student group reading/math performance and the annual measurable objectives (AMO) target of 83%. Campuses were originally staged as priority and focus based on data from the 2013 Accountability Reports. Priority and focus schools having improved in performance and are no longer identified as improvement required for the August 2015 and 2016 ratingswill include a "Progress" label. All schools that do not meet that criteria will remain identified as priority or focus.

Priority School Identification: Priority School Reason: N/A Focus School Reason: N/A No Focus School Identification: No

A high-performance reward school is identified as a Title I school with distinctions based on reading and math performance. In addition, at the high school level, a reward school is a Title I school with the highest graduation rates. A high progress school is identified as a Title I school in the top 25% in annual improvement; and/or a school in the top 25% of those demonstrating ability to close performance gaps based on system safeguards. The reward school identifications provided are for the 2015-2016 school year. Identifications for the 2016-2017 school year are pending.

#### High Performing School: No High Progress School: No

Source: TEA Division of School Improvement and Support

Part IV: Teacher Quality Data

## Part IV A: Percent of Teachers by Highest Degree Held

Professional qualifications of all public elementary and secondary school teachers in the State of Texas. The distribution of degrees attained by teachers are shown as the percent of total Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) count of teachers with no degree, bachelor's, master's, and doctorate degrees.

	Campus			
	Number	Percent	District Percent	State Percent
No Degree	0.0	0.0%	1.0%	1.0%
Bachelors	24.0	82.8%	71.4%	74.7%
Masters	5.0	17.2%	26.2%	23.6%
Doctorate	0.0	0.0%	1.4%	0.6%

# Part IV B and C: Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Credentials, Highly Qualified (HQ) Teachers Low Poverty/ High Poverty Summary Reports

The percentage of all public elementary and secondary school teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, and the percentage of classes in the state not taught by highly qualified teachers disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools. For this purpose, high-poverty means schools in the top quartile of poverty and low-poverty means the bottom quartile of poverty in the state.

#### **Core Academic Subject Areas**

		General Education	Special Education	Tota
Total Number of Teachers		28	1	29
Total Number of Classes		28	1	29
Number of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	28	1	29
	Percent	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	0	0	C
	Percent	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

#### Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

	Number of	Teachers
	Elem	secondary
	(PK-6)	(7-12)
Emergency (for certified personnel)	0	(
Emergency (for uncertified personnel)	0	(
Non-renewable	0	(
Temporary Classroom Assignment	0	C
District Teaching	0	C
Temporary	0	(
	0	

#### Number of Core Academic Teachers with a Probationary Certificate Enrolled in an Alternative Certification

	Number of Teachers		
	General Education	Special Education	
lighly Qualified	28	1	
Not Highly Qualified	0	0	

Source: TEA Division of Federal and State Education Policy

Part V: Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (IHE)

This section provides the percentage of students who enroll and begin instruction at an institution of higher education in the school year (fall

or spring semester) following high school graduation. The rate reflects the percent of total graduates during the 2012-13 school year who attended a public or independent college or university in Texas in the 2013-14 academic year.

Report Not Required

Source: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

Part VI: Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Results

The most recent NAEP results for Texas are provided showing statewide reading and mathematics performance results and participation rates, disaggregated by student group.

				%	%	%
			%	At or Above	At or Above	At or Above
Grade	Subject	Student Group	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Grade 4	Reading	Overall	36	64	31	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	13	87	66	30
		Black	49	51	17	2
		Hispanic	44	56	22	3
		White	18	82	50	13
		Students with Disabilities	71	29	11	2
		English Language Learners	59	41	12	2
		National School Lunch Program	46	54	20	3
	Mathematics	Overall	14	86	44	8
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	3	97	82	36
		Black	24	76	29	2
		Hispanic	16	84	37	4
		White	7	93	60	15
		Students with Disabilities	41	59	18	2
		English Language Learners	23	77	28	2
		National School Lunch Program	19	81	30	2
Grade 8	Reading	Overall	28	72	28	2
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	12	88	55	12
		Black	38	62	19	2
		Hispanic	35	65	19	1
		White	14	86	43	4
		Students with Disabilities	70	30	5	n/a
		English Language Learners	71	29	2	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	36	64	18	1
	Mathematics	Overall	25	75	32	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	5	95	67	25
		Black	43	57	16	2
		Hispanic	31	69	23	4
		White	12	88	48	12
		Students with Disabilities	62	38	8	1
		English Language Learners	60	40	6	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	34	66	20	3

## State Level: 2015 Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels

# State Level: 2015 Participation Rates for Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficient Students

Grade	Subject	Student Group	%
Grade 4	Reading	Students with Disabilities	72
		Limited English Proficient	92
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities	80
		Limited English Proficient	95
Grade 8	Reading	Students with Disabilities	81
		Limited English Proficient	95
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities	81
		Limited English Proficient	90
1			

Source: TEA Division of Student Assessment